arXiv:1701.02004v2 [math.DG] 21 Jan 2017

PARABOLIC OMORI-YAU MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR MEAN CURVATURE FLOW AND SOME APPLICATIONS

JOHN MAN SHUN MA

Abstract. We derive a parabolic version of Omori-Yau maximum principle for a proper mean curvature flow when the ambient space has lower bound on ℓ-sectional curvature. We apply this to show that the image of Gauss map is preserved under a proper mean curvature flow in euclidean spaces with uniform bounded second fundamental forms. This generalizes the result of Wang [12] for compact immersions. We also prove a Omori-Yau maximum principle for properly immersed self-shrinkers, which improves a result in [2].

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and let $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function. If M is compact, u is maximized at some point $x \in M$. At this point, basic advanced calculus implies

$$u(x) = \sup u, \quad \nabla^M u(x) = 0, \quad \Delta^M u(x) \leq 0.$$

Here ∇^M and Δ^M are respectively the gradient and Laplace operator with respect to the metric g. When M is noncompact, a bounded function might not attain a maximum. In this situation, Omori [9] and later Yau [13] provide some noncompact versions of maximum principles. We recall the statement in [13]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with bounded below Ricci curvature. Let $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded above twice differentiable function. Then there is a sequence $\{x_i\}$ in M such that*

$$u(x_i) \to \sup u, \quad |\nabla u|(x_i) \to 0, \quad \limsup_{i\to\infty} \Delta^M u(x_i) \leq 0.$$

Maximum principles of this form are called Omori-Yau maximum principles. The assumption on the lower bound on Ricci curvature in Theorem 1.1 has been weaken in (e.g.) [3], [10]. On the other hand, various Omori-Yau type maximum principles have been proved for other elliptic operators and on solitons in geometric flows, such as Ricci solition [2] and self-shrinkers in mean curvature flows [4]. The Omori-Yau maximum principles are powerful tools in studying noncompact manifolds and have a lot of geometric applications. We refer the reader to the book [1] and the reference therein for more information.

In this paper, we derive the following parabolic version of Omori-Yau maximum principle for mean curvature flow.

Date: January 24, 2017.

Theorem 1.2 (Parabolic Omori-Yau Maximum Principle)**.** *Let $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. Let $(\overline{M}^{n+m}, \bar{g})$ be a $n+m$-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold such that the $(n-1)$-sectional curvature of $\overline{M}$ is bounded below by $-C$ for some positive constant C. Let M^n be a n-dimensional noncompact manifold and let $F : M^n \times [0,T] \to \overline{M}$ be a proper mean curvature flow. Let $u : M \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which satisfies*

1. $\sup_{(x,t)\in M\times[0,T]} u > \sup_{x\in M} u(\cdot, 0)$,
2. *u is twice differentiable in $M \times (0,T]$, and*
3. *(sublinear growth condition) There are $B > 0$, $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and some $y_0 \in \overline{M}$ so that*

$$u(x,t) \leq B(1 + d_{\overline{M}}(y_0, F(x,t))^\alpha), \quad \forall (x,t) \in M \times [0,T]. \tag{1.1}$$

Then there is a sequence of points $(x_i, t_i) \in M \times (0,T]$ so that

$$u(x_i,t_i) \to \sup u, \quad |\nabla^{M_{t_i}} u(x_i,t_i)| \to 0, \quad \liminf_{i\to\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta^{M_{t_i}}\right) u(x_i,t_i) \geq 0. \tag{1.2}$$

We remark that the above theorem makes no assumption on the curvature of the immersion F_t. See section 2 for the definition of ℓ-sectional curvature.

With this parabolic Omori-Yau maximum principle, we derive the following results.

In [12], the author studies the gauss map along the mean curvature flow in the euclidean space. He shows that if the image of the gauss map stays inside a geodesic submanifold in the Grassmanians, the same is also true along the flow when the initial immersion is compact. As a first application, we extend Wang's theorem to the noncompact situation.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $F_0 : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be a proper immersion and let $F : M^n \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be a mean curvature flow of F_0 with uniformly bounded second fundamental form. Let Σ be a compact totally geodesic submanifold of the Grassmanians of n-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. If the image of the Gauss map γ satisfies $\gamma(\cdot, 0) \subset \Sigma$, then $\gamma(\cdot, t) \subset \Sigma$ for all $t \in [0,T]$.*

As a corollary, we have the following:

Corollary 1.1. *Let $F_0 : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a proper Lagrangian immersion and let $F : M \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a mean curvature flow with uniformly bounded second fundamental form. Then F_t is Lagrangian for all $t \in [0,T]$.*

The above result is well-known when M is compact [11], [12]. Various forms of Corollary 1.1 are known to the experts (see remark 2 below).

The second application is to derive a Omori-Yau maximum principle for the $\mathcal{L}$-operator of a proper self-shrinker. The $\mathcal{L}$ operator is introduced in [5] when the authors study the entropy stability of a self-shrinker. Since then it proves to be an important operator in mean curvature flow. Using Theorem 1.2, we prove

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\widetilde{F} : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be a properly immersed self-shrinker and let $f : M^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function so that*

$$f(x) \leq C(1 + |\widetilde{F}(x)|^\alpha) \tag{1.3}$$

for some $C > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_i\}$ in M so that

$$f(x_i) \to \sup_M f, \quad |\nabla f|(x_i) \to 0, \quad \limsup_{i\to\infty} \mathcal{L}f(x_i) \leq 0. \tag{1.4}$$

The above theorem is a generalization of Theorem 5 in [2] since we assume weaker conditions on f.

In section 2, we prove the parabolic Omori-Yau maximum principle. In section 3 we prove Theorem 1.3 and in section 4 we prove Theorem 1.4. The author would like to thank Jingyi Chen for the discussion on Omori-Yau maximum principle and Kwok Kun Kwong for suggesting the work of Li and Wang [7].

2. Proof of the parabolic Omori-Yau maximum principle

Let $(\overline{M}^{n+m}, \overline{g})$ be an $n+m$ dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold. Let $F : M \times [0, T] \to \overline{M}$, where M is an n-dimensional noncompact manifold, be a family of immersions $\{F(\cdot, t) : M \to \overline{M}\}$ which satisfies the mean curvature flow equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x, t) = \vec{H}(x, t). \tag{2.1}$$

Here $\vec{H}(x, t)$ is the mean curvature vector given by

$$\vec{H} = \mathrm{tr}A \tag{2.2}$$

and $A(X, Y) = (\overline{\nabla}_X Y)^\perp$ is the second fundamental form of the immersion $F(\cdot, t)$.

Next we recall the definition of ℓ-sectional curvature in [7]. Let $\overline{M}^N$ be an N-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let $p \in \overline{M}$, $1 \leq \ell \leq N-1$. Consider a pair $\{w, V\}$, where $w \in T_p\overline{M}$ and $V \subset T_p\overline{M}$ is a ℓ-dimensional subspace so that w is perpendicular to V.

Definition 2.1. The ℓ-sectional curvature of $\{w, V\}$ is given by

$$K^\ell_{\overline{M}}(w, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle R(w, e_i)w, e_i\rangle, \tag{2.3}$$

where R is the Riemann Curvature tensor on $\overline{M}$ and $\{e_1, \cdots, e_\ell\}$ is any orthonormal basis of V.

We say that $\overline{M}$ has ℓ-sectional curvature bounded from below by a constant C if

$$K^\ell_{\overline{M}}(w, V) \geq \ell C$$

for all pairs $\{w, V\}$ at any point $p \in M$. In [7], the authors prove the following comparison theorem for the distance function r on manifolds with lower bound on ℓ-sectional curvatures.

Theorem 2.1. *[Theorem 1.2 in [7]] Assume that $\overline{M}$ has ℓ-sectional curvature bounded from below by $-C$ for some $C > 0$. Let $p \in M$ and $r(x) = d_{\overline{g}}(x, p)$. If x is not in the cut*

locus of p and $V \subset T_x\overline{M}$ is perpendicular to $\nabla r(x)$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) \leq \ell\sqrt{C}\coth(\sqrt{C}r), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\{e_1, \cdots, e_\ell\}$ is any orthonormal basis of V.

Now we prove Theorem 1.2. We recall that F is assumed to be proper, and u satisfies condition (1)-(3) in the statement of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Adding a constant to u if necessary, we assume

$$\sup_{x\in M} u(x, 0) = 0.$$

By condition (1) in Theorem 1.2, we have $u(y, s) > 0$ for some (y, s). Note that $s > 0$. Let $y_0 \in \overline{M}$ and $r(y) = d_{\bar{g}}(y, y_0)$ be the distance to y_0 in $\overline{M}$. Let $\rho(x, t) = r(F(x, t))$. Note that $u(y, s) - \epsilon\rho(y, s)^2 > 0$ whenever ϵ is small. Let $(\bar{x}_i, s_i)$ be a sequence so that $u(\bar{x}_i, s_i) \to \sup u \in (0, \infty]$. Let $\{\epsilon_i\}$ be a sequence in $(0, \epsilon)$ converging to 0 which satisfies

$$\epsilon_i\rho(\bar{x}_i, s_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{2.5}$$

Define

$$u_i(x, t) = u(x, t) - \epsilon_i\rho(x, t)^2.$$

Note that $u_i(y, s) > 0$ and $u_i(\cdot, 0) \leq 0$. Using condition (3) in Theorem 1.2, there is $R > 0$ so that $u_i(x, t) \leq 0$ when $F(x, t) \notin B_R(y_0)$, the closed ball in $\overline{M}$ centered at y_0 with radius R. Since $\overline{M}$ is complete, $B_R(y_0)$ is a compact subset. Furthermore, F is proper and thus u_i attains a maximum at some $(x_i, t_i) \in M \times (0, T]$. From the choice of $(\bar{x}_i, s_i)$ and ϵ_i in (2.5),

$$u(x_i, t_i) \geq u_i(x_i, t_i) \geq u_i(\bar{x}_i, s_i) \geq u(\bar{x}_i, s_i) - \frac{1}{i}.$$

Thus we have

$$u(x_i, t_i) \to \sup u.$$

Now we consider the derivatives of u at (x_i, t_i). If $F(x_i, t_i)$ is not in the cut locus of y_0, then ρ is differentiable at (x_i, t_i). Then so is u_i and we have

$$\nabla^{M_{t_i}} u_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta^{M_{t_i}}\right) u_i \geq 0 \quad \text{at } (x_i, t_i). \tag{2.6}$$

(The inequality holds since $t_i > 0$). The first equality implies

$$\nabla^{M_{t_i}} u = \epsilon_i \nabla^{M_{t_i}} \rho^2 = 2\epsilon_i\rho(\nabla r)^\top \tag{2.7}$$

at (x_i, t_i), where $(\cdot)^\top$ denotes the projection onto $T_{x_i}M_{t_i}$. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ be any orthonormal basis at $T_{x_i}M_{t_i}$ with respect to g_{t_i}. Then

$$\Delta^{M_{t_i}} \rho^2 = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\nabla^{M_{t_i}}_{e_i} r|^2 + 2\rho \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) + 2\rho\bar{g}(\nabla r, \vec{H}). \tag{2.8}$$

Next we use the lower bound on $(n-1)$-sectional curvature of $\overline{M}$ to obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *There is $C_1 = C_1(n, C) > 0$ so that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) \leq C_1 \rho. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof of lemma. : We consider two cases. First, if γ' is perpendicular to $T_{x_i} M_{t_i}$, write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i \neq j} \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i).$$

Since $\overline{M}$ has $(n-1)$-sectional curvature bounded from below by $-C$, we apply Theorem 2.1 to the plane V spanned by $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\} \setminus \{e_i\}$ for each i. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) &\leq \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{C} \rho \coth(\sqrt{C}\rho) \\ &= n\sqrt{C} \rho \coth(\sqrt{C}\rho). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Second, if γ' is not perpendicular to $T_{x_i} M_{t_i}$, since the right hand side of (2.9) is independent of the orthonormal basis chosen, we can assume that e_1 is parallel to the projection of γ' onto $T_{x_i} M_{t_i}$. Write

$$e_1 = e_1^{\perp} + a\gamma',$$

where $e_1^{\perp}$ lies in the orthogonal complement of γ' and $a = \langle e_1, \gamma' \rangle$. By a direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 r(e_1, e_1) &= (\nabla_{e_1} \nabla r)(e_1) \\ &= e_1 \langle \gamma', e_1 \rangle - \langle \gamma', \nabla_{e_1} e_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{e_1} \gamma', e_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{e_1^{\perp} + a\gamma'} \gamma', e_1^{\perp} + a\gamma' \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{e_1^{\perp}} \gamma', e_1^{\perp} \rangle + a \langle \nabla_{e_1^{\perp}} \gamma', \gamma' \rangle \\ &= \nabla^2 r(e_1^{\perp}, e_1^{\perp}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

We further split into two situations. If $e_1^{\perp} = 0$, then the above shows $\nabla^2 r(e_1, e_1) = 0$. Using Theorem 2.1 we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) &= \sum_{i=2}^{n} \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) \\ &\leq (n-1)\sqrt{C} \rho \coth(\sqrt{C}\rho) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

If $e_1^\perp \neq 0$, write $b = \|e_1^\perp\|$ and $f_1 = b^{-1}e_1^\perp$. Then $\{f_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of a n-dimensional plane in $T_{F(x_i,t_i)}\overline{M}$ orthogonal to γ'. Using (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) &= \nabla^2 r(e_1^\perp, e_1^\perp) + \sum_{i=2}^n \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i)\\ &= b^2\nabla^2 r(f_1, f_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i)\\ &= b^2\left(\nabla^2 r(f_1, f_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i)\right) + (1-b^2)\sum_{i=2}^n \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i).\end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Theorem 2.1 again (note that the first term can be dealt with as in (2.10))

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) &\leq b^2 n\sqrt{C}\rho\coth(\sqrt{C}\rho) + (1-b^2)(n-1)\sqrt{C}\rho\coth(\sqrt{C}\rho)\\ &\leq n\sqrt{C}\rho\coth(\sqrt{C}\rho).\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Summarizing (2.10), (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i) \leq n\sqrt{C}\rho\coth(\sqrt{C}\rho) \leq C_1\rho$$

for some $C_1 = C_1(n, C) > 0$. Thus the lemma is proved. □

Using Lemma 2.1, (2.8) and $\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}^2 = 2\rho\bar{g}(\nabla r, \vec{H})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta^{M_{t_i}}\right)\rho^2 &= -2\sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla^{M_{t_i}}_{e_i} r|^2 - 2\rho\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla^2 r(e_i, e_i)\\ &\geq -2n - 2C_1\rho\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

(2.7) and (2.14) imply that at (x_i, t_i) we have respectively

$$|\nabla u| \leq 2\epsilon_i\rho \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta^{M_{t_i}}\right)u \geq -2\epsilon_i(n + C_1\rho). \tag{2.16}$$

Note

$$u(x_i, t_i) - \epsilon_i\rho(x_i, t_i)^2 = u_i(x_i, t_i) \geq u_i(y, s) > 0.$$

This implies

$$\rho(x_i, t_i)^2 \leq u(x_i, t_i)\epsilon_i^{-1}.$$

Using the sub-linear growth condition (3) of u and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x_i, t_i)^2 &\leq B\epsilon_i^{-1} + B\epsilon_i^{-1}\rho(x_i, t_i)^\alpha\\ &\leq B\epsilon_i^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\rho(x_i, t_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(B\epsilon_i^{-1})^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\rho(x_i,t_i)\epsilon_i \le \sqrt{2B}\sqrt{\epsilon_i} + B^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}\epsilon_i^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}}.$$

Together with (2.15), (2.16) and that $\epsilon_i \to 0$,

$$|\nabla u|(x_i,t_i) \to 0, \quad \liminf_{i\to\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta^{M_{t_i}}\right)u(x_i,t_i) \ge 0.$$

This proves the theorem if ρ is smooth at (x_i,t_i) for all i. When ρ is not differentiable at some (x_i,t_i), one applies the Calabi's trick by considering $r_\epsilon(y) = d_{\bar g}(y,y_\epsilon)$ instead of r, where y_ϵ is a point closed to y_0. The method is standard and thus is skipped. □

Remark 1. Condition (1) in the above theorem is used solely to exclude the case that u_i is maximized at $(x_i,0)$ for some $x_i \in M$. The condition can be dropped if that does not happen (see the proof of Theorem 1.4).

3. Preservation of Gauss image

In this section we assume that $F_0 : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is a proper immersion. Let $F : M\times[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be a mean curvature flow starting at F_0. We further assume that the second fundamental form are uniformly bounded: there is $C_0 > 0$ so that

$$\|A(x,t)\| \le C_0, \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in M\times[0,T]. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1. *The mapping F is proper.*

Proof. Let $B_0(r)$ be the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ centered at the origin with radius r. Then by (2.1) and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(x,t) - F(x,0)| &= \left|\int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x,s)ds\right| \\
&= \left|\int_0^t \vec{H}(x,s)ds\right| \\
&\le \sqrt{n}\int_0^t \|A(x,s)\|ds \\
&\le C_0\sqrt{n}T.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus if $(x,t) \in F^{-1}(B_0(r))$, then x is in $F_0^{-1}(B_0(r+C_0\sqrt{n}T))$. Let $(x_n,t_n) \in F^{-1}(B_0(r))$. Since F_0 is proper, a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ converges to $x \in M$. Since $[0,T]$ is compact, a subsequence of (x_n,t_n) converges to (x,t), which must be in $F^{-1}(B_0(r))$ since F is continuous. As $r > 0$ is arbitrary, F is proper. □

In particular, the parabolic Omori-Yau maximum principle (Theorem 1.2) can be applied in this case.

Let $G(n,m)$ be the real Grassmanians of n-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ and let

$$\gamma : M\times[0,T] \to G(n,m), \quad x \mapsto F_*T_xM \tag{3.2}$$

be the Gauss map of F.

Now we prove Theorem 1.3, which is a generalization of a Theorem of Wang [12] to the noncompact situation with bounded second fundamental form.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $d : G(n,m) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the distance to Σ. That is $d(\ell) = \inf_{L\in\Sigma} d(L,\ell)$. Since $\gamma(\cdot,0) \subset \Sigma$, we have $d\circ\gamma = 0$ when $t = 0$. Using chain rule and (3.1), as $d\gamma = A$,

$$d(\gamma(x,t)) = d(\gamma(x,t)) - d(\gamma(x,0)) = \int_0^t \nabla d \circ d\gamma(x,s)ds \leq tC_0.$$

Since $\Sigma \subset G(n,m)$ is compact, there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so that the open set

$$V = \{\ell \in G(n,m) : d(\ell,\Sigma) < \sqrt{\epsilon}_0\}$$

lies in a small tubular neighborhood of Σ and the function d^2 is smooth on this neighborhood. Let $T' = \epsilon_0/2C_0$. Then the image of $f := d^2\circ\gamma$ lies in this tubular neighborhood if $t \in [0,T']$ and f is a smooth function on $M\times[0,T']$.

The calculation in Wang [12] shows that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) f \leq C|A_t|^2 f, \tag{3.3}$$

where $C > 0$ depends on ϵ_0 and Σ. Together with (3.1) this shows that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) f \leq C_1 f$$

for some positive constant C_1.

Let $g = e^{-(C_1+1)t}f$. Then g is bounded, nonnegative and $g(\cdot,0) \equiv 0$. On the other hand,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) g = -(C_1+1)g + e^{-(C_1+1)t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) f \leq -g. \tag{3.4}$$

If g is positive at some point, Theorem 1.2 implies the existence of a sequence (x_i,t_i) so that

$$g(x_i,t_i) \to \sup g, \quad \limsup_{i\to\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) g(x_i,t_i) \geq 0.$$

Take $i\to\infty$ in (3.4) gives $0 \leq -\sup g$, which contradicts that g is positive somewhere. Thus g and so f is identically zero. This is the same as saying that $\gamma(x,t) \in \Sigma$ for all $(x,t) \in [0,T']$. Note that T' depends only on C_0, so we can repeat the same argument finitely many time to conclude that $\gamma(x,t) \in \Sigma$ for all $(x,t) \in M\times[0,T]$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.1. An immersion is Lagrangian if and only if its Gauss map has image in the Lagrangian Grassmanians $LG(n)$, which is a totally geodesic submanifold of $G(n,n)$. The Corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.3. □

Remark 2. Various forms of Corollary 1.1 are known to the experts. In [8], the author comments that the argument used in [11] can be generalized to the complete noncompact case, if one assumes the following volume growth condition:

$$\mathrm{Vol}(L_0 \cap B_R(0)) \leq C_0 R^n, \quad \text{for some } C_0 > 0.$$

The above condition is needed to apply the non-compact maximum principle in [6].

4. Omori-Yau maximum principle for self-shrinkers

In this section, we improve Theorem 5 in [2] using Theorem 1.2. The proof is more intuitive in the sense that we use essentially the fact that a self-shrinker is a self-similar solution to the mean curvature flow (possibly after reparametrization on each time slice).

First we recall some facts about self-shrinker. A self-shrinker to the mean curvature flow is an immersion $\widetilde{F} : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ which satisfies

$$\widetilde{F}^{\perp} = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{H}. \tag{4.1}$$

Fix $T_0 \in (-1, 0)$. Let $\phi_t : M \to M$ be a family of diffeomorphisms on M so that

$$\phi_{T_0} = \mathrm{Id}_M, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big(\widetilde{F}(\phi_t(x))\big) = \frac{1}{2(-t)}\widetilde{F}^{\top}(\phi_t(x)), \quad \forall t \in [-1, T_0]. \tag{4.2}$$

Let

$$F(x,t) = \sqrt{-t}\widetilde{F}(\phi_t(x)), \quad (x,t) \in M \times [-1, T_0]. \tag{4.3}$$

Then F satisfies the MCF equation since by (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big(\sqrt{-t}\widetilde{F}(\phi_t(x))\big) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-t}}\widetilde{F}(\phi_t(x)) + \sqrt{-t}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big(\widetilde{F}(\phi_t(x))\big) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-t}}\widetilde{F}(\phi_t(x)) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-t}}\widetilde{F}^{\top}(\phi_t(x)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}}\vec{H}_{\widetilde{F}}(\phi_t(x)) \\
&= \vec{H}_F(x,t).
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, recall the $\mathcal{L}$ operator defined in [5]:

$$\mathcal{L}f = \Delta f - \frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla f, \widetilde{F}^{\top}\rangle. \tag{4.4}$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.4:

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Recall $T_0 \in (-1, 0)$. Let $u : M \times [-1, T_0] \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$u(x,t) = f(\phi_t(x)), \quad \forall (x,t) \in M \times [-1, T_0]. \tag{4.5}$$

Then

$$u(x,t) \le C(1 + |\widetilde{F}(\phi_t(x)|^{\alpha}) \le C(-T_0)^{-\alpha/2}|F(x,t)|^{\alpha}.$$

Thus we can apply Theorem 1.2 (The condition that $u(\cdot, 0) \equiv 0$ in Theorem 1.2 is used only to exclude the case $t_i = -1$. But since

$$u_i(x,t) = f(\phi_t(x)) - \epsilon_i|\sqrt{-t}\widetilde{F}(\phi_t(x))|^2,$$

in order that u_i is maximized at (x_i, t_i) we must have $t_i = T_0$. In particular $t_i \neq -1$). Thus there is a sequence (x_i, T_0) so that

$$u(x_i, T_0) \to \sup u, \quad |\nabla^{M_{T_0}} u(x_i, T_0)| \to 0, \quad \liminf_{i\to\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta^{M_{T_0}}\right) u(x_i, T_0) \geq 0.$$

Using $\phi_{T_0} = \mathrm{Id}$ and the definition of u, the first condition gives

$$f(x_i) \to \sup f. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $\nabla^{M_{T_0}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-T_0}} \nabla^M$, the second condition gives

$$|\nabla^M f(x_i)| \to 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Lastly,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_i, T_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\phi_t(x))\bigg|_{t=T_0} = \frac{1}{2(-T_0)} \langle \nabla f(x_i), \widetilde{F}^\top(x_i) \rangle \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\Delta^{M_{T_0}} u(x_i, T_0) = \Delta^{M_{T_0}} f(x_i) = \frac{1}{-T_0} \Delta^M f(x_i).$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta^{M_{T_0}}\right) u(x_i, T_0) = \frac{1}{T_0} \mathcal{L} f(x_i)$$

and the result follows. □

Remark 3. Note that the above theorem is stronger than Theorem 5 in [2], where they assume that f is bounded above (which corresponds to our case when $\alpha = 0$).

Remark 4. Our growth condition on f is optimal: the function $f(x) = \sqrt{|x|^2 + 1}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (as a self-shrinker) has linear growth, but the gradient of f

$$\nabla f = \frac{x}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + 1}}$$

does not tend to 0 as $f(x) \to \sup f = \infty$.

Remark 5. In Theorem 4 of [2], the authors also derive a Omori-Yau maximum principle on a properly immersed self-shrinker for the Laplace operator. There they assume $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the growth condition

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{u(x)}{\log\left(\sqrt{|\widetilde{F}(x)|^2 + 4} - 1\right)} = 0.$$

We remark that the condition can be weaken to

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{u(x)}{|\widetilde{F}(x)| + 1} = 0,$$

since the Laplacian of the function $|\widetilde{F}|^2$ satisfies better estimates: $\Delta|\widetilde{F}|^2 \leq 2n$. Thus one can argue as in p.79 in [1] to conclude.

References

1. Alías, L., Mastrolia, P., Rigoli, M.: *Maximum Principles and Geometric Applications*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics ISBN 978-3-319-24335-1
2. Chen, Q., Jost, J., Qiu,H.: *Omori-Yau maximum principles, V-harmonic maps and their geometric applications*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. (2014) 46:259-279
3. Chen, Q., Xin, Y.L.: *A Generalized Maximum Principle and its Applications in Geometry*. Am. J. Math., Vol. 114, No. 2 (Apr., 1992), pp. 355-366
4. Cheng, QM., Peng, Y.: *Complete self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow*. Calc. Var. (2015) 52: 497.
5. Colding, T., Minicozzi, W.: *Generic Mean Curvature Flow I: Generic Singularities*. Ann. Math. (2) 175, No. 2, 755-833 (2012)
6. Ecker, K., Huisken, G.: *Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs*. Ann. Math. (2) 130, 453-471 (1989)
7. Li, P., Wang, J.: *Comparison theorem for Kähler manifolds and positivity of spectrum*. J. Differential Geom. 69 (2005), no. 1, 043-074.
8. Neves, A. : *Singularities of Lagrangian mean curvature flow: zero-Maslov class case*. Invent. math. (2007) 168: 449.
9. Omori, H.: *Isometric immersion of Riemannian manifolds*. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 19, 205-214 (1967)
10. Pigola, S., Rigoli, M., Setti, A.: *Maximum principle on Riemannian manifolds and applications*. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 174 (822) (2005)
11. Smoczyk, K.: *A canonical way to deform a Lagrangian submanifold*. arvix. dg-ga/9605005
12. Wang, M.T.: *Gauss map of the mean curvature flow*, Math. Res. Lett. 10, 287-299 (2003)
13. Yau, S.T.: *Harmonic function on complete Riemannian manifolds*. Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 28, 201-228 (1975)

Department of Mathematics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC Canada V6T1Z2

E-mail address: johnma@math.ubc.edu.ca